# SPACER Line



"Knowing that our products every day improve the lives of many people. This is our ambition. This is our reward."

Giovanni Faccioli, President.

## TECRES

Cutting-edge technologies and constant research to improve the lives of many people. This is the vision of Tecres since 1981, operating in sinergy with surgeons, Universities and Research Institutes in order to invent, realize and provide the market with safe, effective and innovative products. We are specialized in acrylic resins since 1986 with medical applications in fields such as orthopaedics, spinal surgery and neurosurgery. Our excellence and reliability are recognized in more than 70 Countries around the world.



### Spacer® Line: The Exclusive Preformed Antibiotic Loaded Spacer

### PERIPROSTHETIC JOINT INFECTION

Periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) remains one of the most challenging complications in total joint arthroplasty (PJA). Overall, the reported incidence of PJI ranges between 0.5% and 3%<sup>1</sup>.

### Two-stage revision

Two-stage revision surgery consists of the removal of the infected prosthetic implant, thorough surgical debridement and implantation of a temporary antibiotic spacer followed by several weeks of systemic antibiotic therapy; eventually, after the eradication of the infection, the spacer is removed and replaced by a new prosthesis. This option is the most widespread and has been shown to have a great efficacy in eradicating chronic periprosthetic infections.

### **Tecres Preformed Spacers**

Tecres in 1996 introduced the first preformed Gentamicin bone cement spacer device for the hip (Spacer®-G). In the following years, the family of spacers was implemented with knee (Spacer®-K) and shoulder (Spacer®-S) devices. Eventually, in 2010 Tecres introduced the dual antibiotic spacer line with the antibiotic combination Gentamicin/ Vancomycin for the hip (Vancogenx-Space® Hip) and for the knee (Vancogenx-Space® Knee).

The use of a preformed commercial spacer, with standardized mechanical and pharmacological properties is an option which provides mechanical, biological and pharmacological safety and performance. Such devices maintain the correct joint space, allow a good range of joint mobility, promote early rehabilitation by providing a means to avoid muscular retraction, allow the patient greater function during the treatment period, allow partial weight-bearing with crutches when the residual bone stock is adequate<sup>2</sup>, provide high and inhibitory local concentration of antibiotic in the site of infection at implantation<sup>3</sup> which is maintained over time<sup>4</sup>.

Tecres spacers represent today the most studied and published spacer devices with over 100 publications in peer-reviewed journals.



### CLINICAL ADVANTAGES OF A PREFORMED SPACER

### **SAFETY**

FEATURES IN COMPARISON WITH HAND-MADE SPACERS

- Negligible risk of device breakage (mechanical strength)5,6
- Negligible risk of systemic toxicity (controlled and known antibiotic release)3,4
- Negligible risk of bone defect increase (device ergonomics, joint surface smoothness and hardness)7,8,9,10
- Shorter operatory times (reduced anaesthesiological risk)9,11
- Reduced hospital stay<sup>2,12</sup>

### PERFOR-MANCE

- Joint spacing and mobility<sup>8,9,6,13</sup>
- Partial weight-bearing with crutches2,14
- Easier second stage revision surgery<sup>11</sup>
- Reduction of functional recovery times after revision prosthesis implantation 13,14,15
- Help to oppose the present infection with the controlled and effective release of antibiotic directly in the infection site all over the implantation period<sup>3,4</sup>

### QUALITY OF LIFE

- Possibility of physical therapy (muscle tonicity, no joint stiffness)8,13,16,17
- Self-independence (psychological benefit)8,14
- Basic movements for daily routine without the need of help8
- Earlier hospital dismissal is generally allowed 12,18,19

### ANTIBIOTIC FEATURES

- Broad spectrum of activity against Gram p.ve and Gram n.ve bacteria20
- Bacterial adhesion prevention21
- High local antibiotic concentration in the joint all over the implantation period<sup>3,4,22</sup>
- Low serum level3,4
- Standardized and known local antibiotic release3
- Synergistic antimicrobial effect (Vancogenx®-Space)3







### **CLINICAL RESULTS OF TECRES SPACERS**

The relevant clinical information extracted from 34 peer-reviewed papers (28 different hospitals, more than 1,400 unique patients) is given in the table below. Infection eradication rate at a mean follow-up of 56 months exceeds 91%.

	Author	Year	Туре	Antibiotic	Journal	Pts	Reimplanted	"Infection free at FU"	Mean FU (M)	City
1	Bertazzoni E	2004	Hip	G	Acta Orthop Scand	20	17	17/17	49	Verona (ITA)
2	Pitto RP	2005	Knee	G	Int Orthop	21	19	19/19	24	Auckland (NZ), Bergamo (ITA)
3	Coffey MJ	2010	Shoulder	G	J Shoulder Elbow Surg	16	12	12/12	18	Dayton, OH (USA)
4	Gil Gonzalez S	2010	Hip	G	Hip Int	35	35	30/35	32	Barcelona #1 (SPA)
5	Pignatti G	2010	Hip	G	Open Orthop J	36	36	36/36	64	Bologna (ITA)
6	Pattyn C	2010	Hip	G	Int Orthop	61	61	59/61	34	Ghent (BEL)
7	D'Angelo F	2011	Hip	G	Musculoskelet Surg	28	27	27/27	53	Varese (ITA)
8	Romanò CL	2011	Hip	G	BMC Infect Dis	20	20	19/20	57	Milan #1,2 (ITA)
9	Degen RM	2012	Hip	G	Clin Orthop Relat Res.	33	32	31/32	43	London, ON (CAN)
10	Neumann DR	2012	Hip	G	J Arthroplasty	42	42	41/42	67	Salzburg (AUT)
11	Romanò CL	2012	Hip	G	Hip Int	183	183	173/183	60	Milan #1,2 (ITA)
12	Wan Z	2012	Knee	G	J Arthroplasty	33	31	28/31	44	Houston, TX (USA)
13	Castelli CC	2014	Knee	G+GV	Int Orthop	50	50	46/50	84	Bergamo (ITA)
14	Corona P	2014	Hip + Knee	G+GV	Clin Orthop Relat Res.	41	37	34/37	35	Barcelona #3 (SPA)
15	Vasso M	2016	Knee	G	Knee Surg Sports T A	29	29	24/29	120	Roma (ITA)
16	Buchalter DB	2017	Shoulder	G	J Shoulder Elbow Surg	18	18	14/18	60	New York, NY (USA)
17	Markzak D	2017	Hip	GV	Int Orthop	47	47	41/47	52	Lodz (POL)
18	Nodzo SR	2017	Knee	G	Knee	58	58	48/58	75	New York, NY (USA)
19	Vecchini E	2017	Knee	G	J Knee Surg	16	16	16/16	74	Verona (ITA)
20	Corona P	2018	Hip + Knee	GV	Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol	23	23	19/23	48	Barcelona #3 (SPA)
21	Akhtar A	2019	Knee	G	Indian J Orthop	27	27	24/27	43	London (UK)
22	Garcia-Oltra E	2019	Hip	G	Acta Orthop Belg	67	52	48/52	57	Barcelona #2 (SPA)
23	Pellegrini A	2019	Shoulder	G	Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.	23	11	11/11	96	Milan #1,2 (ITA)
24	Patrick M	2019	Shoulder	G	J Shoulder Elbow Surg	47	27	25/27	> 12M	Gainesville, FL (USA)
25	Bialecki J	2020	Hip	G	Orthopaedic Reviews	71	71	66/71	70	Otwock (POL)
26	Burastero G	2020	Hip	GV	PLOS 1	148	148	133/148	56	Pietra Ligure (ITA)
27	Corrò S	2020	Hip + Knee	GV	J Arthroplasty	108	108	98/108*	46	Barcelona #3 (SPA)
28	Cronin KJ	2020	Shoulder	G	J Shoulder Elbow Surg	12	0		67	Lexington, KY (USA)
29	Golgelogliu F	2020	Knee	GV	Indian J Orthop	56	56	46/56	48	Tunceli (TUR)
30	Rollo G	2020	Hip	GV	J Clinical Orthop Trauma	26	26	26/26	26	Lecce (ITA)
31	Veltman ES	2020	Hip	G	Work J Orthop	55	55	43/55	51	Amsterdam (NL)
32	Woon CYL	2020	Knee	G	Knee Surg Sports T A	28	28	25/28	> 24M	New York, NY (USA)
33	Meshram P	2021	Shoulder	G	Sem Arthrop	17	17	14/17	62	Baltimore, MD (USA)
34	Russo A	2021	Hip + Knee	GV	Int Orthop	47	47	43/47	86	Pietra Ligure (ITA)

Note: in 48 patients the shoulder spacer was kept as definitive. Infection eradication in 42/48 (87.5%)

1.542	1.466	91,1%	56,6 M	28 CENTERS

### **RANGE OF PRODUCTS**


### **HIP SPACER**

The device includes a load-bearing structure in stainless steel. It is available in two design variants, with a standard tapered stem or with a rectangular flat stem

**Sizes:** each design variant is available in 3 head sizes and 2 stem length options

**Antibiotic:** Gentamicin or Gentamicin/Vancomycin

**Spacer-G:** with Gentamicin, round stem **Spacer-G Flat Stem:** with Gentamicin, flat stem Vancogenx-Space Hip: with Gentamicin+Vancomycin, round stem Vancogenx-Space Hip Flat stem: with Gentamicin+Vancomycin, flat stem



long

stem

### **SHOULDER SPACER**

short

stem

The device includes a load-bearing structure in stainless steel.

long

stem

short

stem


Sizes: the design is available in 2 head sizes

Antibiotic: Gentamicin

long

stem

**Spacer-S:** with Gentamicin, 2 different head sizes









### **KNEE SPACER**

The device is made with antibiotic loaded bone cement. It is made of two independent articulating components.


Sizes: the device is available in 3 (gentamicin) or 4 (gentamicin/vancomycin) sizes

Antibiotic: Gentamicin or Gentamicin/Vancomycin



**Spacer-K:** with Gentamicin, 3 different sizes

Vancogenx-Space Knee: with Gentamicin+Vancomycin, 4 different sizes





Surgical Technique: scan the QR code and watch the video tutorial Surgical Technique is available on Tecres website

### **ATS SPACER**

The device is made with antibiotic loaded bone cement. It is intended to be used always together with Tecres Knee Spacer, in case of patient with a severe tibial bone defect.

**Sizes:** : The device is available in 4 variants. 2 thickness options and 2 width options guarantee a perfect fit every time

**Antibiotic:** Gentamicin or Gentamicin+Vancomycin

**Spacer®-K ATS**: with Gentamicin

**Vancogenx-Space® Knee ATS**: with Gentamicin+Vancomycin



### **VANCOGENX-SPACE®**

Periprosthetic infections (PJI) due to MRSA (methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus), MRSE (methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis), and other resistant pathogens represent a serious and widespread problem.

For this reason Tecres developed the Vancogenx® line.

**Vancogenx®-Space:** hip and knee spacer devices that combine the mechanical and funtional characteristics of Tecres spacers with the pharmacological effectiveness of the Vancomycin-Gentamicin combination.

Vancogenx®-Space spacer devices were developed from Vancogenx® bone cement technology.

### **VANCOGENX®-SPACE: SYNERGY**

When combined, Gentamicin and Vancomycin have a synergistic action against bacteria.<sup>8,23,24</sup>

Their range of action covers approximately 90% of the pathogenic agents generally isolated in surgical infections.<sup>24,25</sup>

The Gentamicin and Vancomycin combination is the most commonly used and published in literature on bone cement spacers for the treatments of orthopaedic infections.

	GRAM+					GR <i>!</i>	GRAM-	
	MRS (Methicillin resistant taphyloccocci)	MSS (Methicillin susceptible Staphyloccocci)	Enterococcci	Streptococci	Cutibacteria	Enterobacteriaceae	Pseudomonas spp.	
Gentamicin	Medium	Low	Med	dium	-	Me	dium	
Vancomycin	High			Medium	-	-		
Gentamicin and Vancomycin		SYNERGISTIC		HIGH		MEDIUM		


### **VANCOGENX®-SPACE: EFFECTIVENESS**

Vancogenx® bone cement has been shown to be able to prevent bacterial adhesion<sup>24</sup> (in vitro tests) and as a coadjuvant in treatment of the infection (in vivo animal tests).<sup>26</sup>

### **Bacterial anti-adhesion**

Vancogenx® exerts an anti-adhesion action by inhibiting bacterial proliferation<sup>21</sup>


### **BACTERIAL ADHESION**



The chart shows the adhesion of clinical isolates to PMMA loaded with gentamicin and the Vancomycin-Gentamicin combination (Vancogenx®). The Gentamicin-Vancomycin combination prevents bacteria from adhering to the PMMA.<sup>24</sup>

### Coadjuvant in the treatment of infection


In vivo animal studies\* have proven Vancogenx®'s therapeutic efficacy in experimental osteomyelitis models. The results of the study indicate that local therapy with Vancogenx® is able to eradicate infection and provides better results than systemic therapy with teicoplanin. Following surgical debridement, the local therapy achieved with Vancogenx® eradicates infection and provides better results than systemic therapy.



### **BACTERIAL LOAD IN THE BONE**

VANCOGENX®

I.V. TEICOPLANIN



Description of the study: an MRSA osteomyelitis was induced in the femur of New Zealand rabbits. After 4 weeks the animals were subjected to surgical debridement of the femoral canal and divided into various groups to represent different treatment options, among which: insertion of an SS nail covered with Vancogenx® (group 1) and a one-week systemic treatment with I.V. Teicoplanin (group 2). After 3 weeks the animals were sacrificed, and the femurs explanted to assess the outcomes of the infection: local therapy with Vancogenx® was able to eradicate the infection (from a radiological, histological and microbiological point of view) providing better results than systemic therapy with I.V. Teicoplanin.



### **ORDERING INFORMATION**

### SPACER FOR HIP Spacer®-G Gentamicin Loaded HEAD SIZE (mm) ROUND STEM FLAT STEM SPC46/G SPC0620 SHORT STEM 54 SPC54/G SPC0720 SPC60/G SPC0820 60 46 SPC46/GXL SPC0920 54 SPC54/GXL SPC1020 60 SPC60/GXL SPC1120

### Vancogenx®-Space Hip Gentamicin + Vancomycin Loaded

STEM	HEAD SIZE (mm)	ROUND STEM	FLAT STEM
CHOPT	46	SPC0030	SPC0630
SHORT STEM	54	SPC0130	SPC0730
	60	SPC0230	SPC0830
LONG	46	SPC0330	SPC0930
LONG STEM	54	SPC0430	SPC1030
	60	SPC0530	SPC1130

### Trial Set (3-size set)

SHORT ROUND STEM	SPG03
LONG ROUND STEM	SPG03XL
SHORT FLAT STEM	SPC90Z0
LONG FLAT STEM	SPC91Z0

### SPACER FOR KNEE

Spacer®-K	Gentamicin	Loaded

TIBIAL WIDTH (mm)	CODE
60 - small	SPK6054/G
70 - medium	SPK7064/G
80 - large	SPK8074/G

\/®	
Vancogenx®-Space Knee Gentamicin + Vancomy	

TIBIAL WIDTH (mm)	CODE
60 - small	SPK0030
70 - medium	SPK0130
80 - large	SPK0230
90 - extralarge	SPK0330

### **Trial Set**

TRIAL SET (SMALL-MEDIUM-LARGE)

SPK03

SPK03Z0

### ATS

Spacer®-K ATS	Gentamicin Lo	paded		Vancogenx®-Space Knee ATS Gentamicin+Vancomycin loaded			
TIBIAL WIDTH (mm)	THICKNESS (mm)	CODE	Spacer®-K pairing	TIBIAL WIDTH (mm)	THICKNESS (mm)	CODE	Vancogenx- Space® Knee
60	7	SPK0420	S or M	60	7	SPK0430	SorM
60	12	SPK0520	S or M	60	12	SPK0530	SorM
80	7	SPK0620	L	80	7	SPK0630	L or XL
80	12	SPK0720	L	80	12	SPK0730	L or XL

TRIAL SET (all sizes): SPK90Z0

### **SPACER FOR SHOULDER**

Spacer -3 demanificht Loaded						
HEAD SIZE (mm)	CODE					
41	SPS0020					
46	SPS46/G					

Tra	ы	S	at.

TWO-SIZE SPS90Z0

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. Palmer JR, et al., The treatment of periprosthetic joint infection: safety and efficacy of two stage versus one stage exchange arthroplasty, Expert Rev Med Devices. 2020 Mar; 17(3):245-252. doi: 10.1080/17434440.2020.1733971. Epub 2020 Mar 2. PMID: 32098518.
- 2. Romanò CL, Romanò D, Logoluso N, Meani E. Long-stem versus short-stem preformed antibiotic-loaded cement spacers for two-stage revision of infected total hip arthroplasty. Hip Int. 2010 Jan-Mar;20(1):26-33.
- 3. Bertazzoni Minelli E, Benini A, Samaila E, Bondi M, Magnan B. Antimicrobial activity of gentamicin and vancomycin combination in joint fluids after antibiotic-loaded cement spacer implantation in two-stage revision surgery. J Chemother. 2015 Feb;27(1):17-24.
- 4. Mutimer J, Gillespie G, Lovering AM, Porteous AJ. Measurements of in vivo intra-articular gentamicin levels from antibiotic loaded articulating spacers in revision total knee replacement. Knee. 2009 Jan;16(1):39-41
- 5. Kummer FJ, Strauss E, Wright K, Kubiak EN, Di Cesare PE. Mechanical evaluation of unipolar hip spacer constructs. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ). 2008 Oct;37(10):517-8
- 6. Logoluso N, Champlon C, Melegati G, Dell'Oro F, Romanò CL. Gait Analysis in Patients with a Preformed Articulated Knee Spacer. Knee 2012 Aug; 19(4):370-2
- 7. Neumann DR, Hofstaedter T et al. Two-stage cementless revision of late THA infection using a premanufactured spacer. J Arthroplasty. 2012 Aug; 27(7):1397-401.
- 8. Castelli CC, Gotti V, Ferrari R. Two-stage treatment of infected total knee arthroplasty: two to thirteen-year experience using an articulating preformed spacer. Int Orthop. 2014 Feb; 38(2): 405–412.
- 9. Coffey MJ, Ely EE, Crosby LA. Treatment of glenohumeral sepsis with a commercially produced antibiotic-impregnated cement spacer. Journal Shoulder Elbow Surgery 2010 Sep; 19(6):868-73
- 10. García-Oltra E, Bori G, Tomas X, Gallart X, Garcia S, Soriano A. Radiological evaluation of acetabular erosion after antibiotic-impregnated polymethylmethacrylate spacer (Spacer-G). J Arthroplasty. 2013 Jun;28(6):1021-4
- 11. Corona PS, Barro V et al. Industrially prefabricated cement spacers: do vancomycin- and gentamicin-impregnated spacers offer any advantage? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2014 Mar:472(3):923-32.
- 12. D'Angelo F, Negri L, Grassi FA, Zatti G, Cherubino P. Use of an articulating spacer in two-stage revision for infected knee arthroplasty. Proceedings to SIOT 2007 (Bologna, Italy).
- 13. Magnan B, Regis D, Biscaglia R, Bartolozzi P. **Preformed acrylic bone cement spacer loaded with antibiotics: use of two-stage procedure in 10 patients because of infected hips after total replacement**. *Acta Orthop Scand. 2001 Dec;72(6):591-4*
- Pitto RP, Castelli CC et al. Pre-formed articulating knee spacer in two-stage revision for the infected total knee arthroplasty. International Orthopaedics (SICOT) (2005) 29: 305–308.
- 15. Twiss TJ, Crosby LA. Treatment of the infected total shoulder arthroplasty with antibiotic-impregnated cement spacers. Semin Arthro 21:204-208, 2010
- 16. Martini C et al. Rehabilitation treatment in patients carrying temporary spacers of antibiotic loaded PMMA for hip prosthesis septic mobilization.

  SIMFER 1997, Venice Arguments on Rehabilitation
- 17. Magnan B, Bondi M, Vecchini E, Samaila E, Maluta T, Dall'Oca C. A preformed antibiotic-loaded spacer for treatment for septic arthritis of the shoulder. Musculoskelet Surg. 2014 Jun;98(1):15-20
- 18. Pattyn C, De Geest T et al. Preformed gentamicin spacers in two-stage revision hip arthroplasty: functional results and complications. Int Orth. 2011 Oct;35(10):1471-6.
- 19. D'Angelo F, Negri L, Zatti G, Grassi FA. Two-stage revision surgery to treat an infected hip implant. A comparison between a custom-made spacer and a pre-formed one. Chir Organi Mov. 2005 Jul-Sep;90(3):271-9. English, Italian.
- 20. Kucers A, Bennett N. The use of antibiotics 4th Ed. 1987, Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd
- 21. Bertazzoni Minelli E, Della Bora T, Benini A. Different microbial biofilm formation on polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) bone cement loaded with gentamicin and van comycin. Anaerobe. 2011 Dec;17(6):380-3
- 22. Bertazzoni Minelli E, Benini A et al. Release of gentamicin and vancomycin from temporary human hip spacers in two-stage revision of infected arthroplasty. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2004 Feb;53(2):329-34.
- 23. Vasso M, Panni AS et al. Prosthetic knee infection by resistant bacteria: the worst-case scenario. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2016 Oct;24(10):3140-3146.
- 24. Vecchini E, Micheloni GM et al. Antibiotic-Loaded Spacer for Two-Stage Revision of Infected Total Knee Arthroplasty. J Knee Surg. 2017 Mar;30(3):231-237.
- 25. Nodzo SR, Boyle KK et al. Success rates, characteristics, and costs of articulating antibiotic spacers for total knee periprosthetic joint infection. Knee 2017 Oct;24(5):1175-1181.
- 26. Corró S, Vicente M et al. Vancomycin-Gentamicin Prefabricated Spacers in 2-Stage Revision Arthroplasty for Chronic Hip and Knee Periprosthetic Joint Infection: Insights Into Reimplantation Microbiology and Outcomes. J Arthroplasty. 2020 Jan;35(1):247-254.
- 27. Gil Gonzalez S, Marqués López F et al. Two-stage revision of hip prosthesis infection using a hip spacer with stabilising proximal cementation. Hip Int. 2010;20 Suppl 7:5128-34.
- 28. Pignatti G, Nitta S et al. Two Stage Hip Revision in Periprosthetic Infection: Results of 41 Cases. Open Orthop J. 2010 Jun 11;4:193-200.
- 29. D'Angelo F, Negri L et al. The use of a preformed spacer in two-stage revision of infected hip arthroplasties. Musculoskelet Surg. 2011 Aug;95(2):115-20.
- 30. Romanò CL, Romanò D et al. Preformed antibiotic-loaded cement spacers for two-stage revision of infected total hip arthroplasty. Long-term results. Hip Int. 2012 Jul-Aug:22 Suppl 8:S46-53.
- 31. Romanò CL, Romanò D et al. Two-stage revision surgery with preformed spacers and cementless implants for septic hip arthritis: a prospective,non-randomized cohort study. BMC Infect Dis. 2011 May 16;11:129.
- 32. Degen RM, Davey JR et al. Does a Prefabricated Gentamicin-impregnated, Load-bearing spacer control periprosthetic hip hnfection? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2012 Oct:470(10):2724-9.
- 33. Burastero G, Basso M et al. Acetabular spacers in 2-stage hip revision: is it worth it? A single-centre retrospective study. Hip Int. 2017 Mar 31;27(2):187-192.
- 34. Marczak D, Synder M et al. Two stage revision hip arthroplasty in periprosthetic joint infection. Comparison study: with or without the use of a spacer. Int Orthop. 2017 Nov;41(11):2253-2258.
- 35. García-Oltra E, García S et al. Clinical results and complications of a two-stage procedure in hip infection using preformed antibiotic-loaded cement spacers.

  Acta Orthop Belg. 2019 Dec;85(4):516-524.
- 36. Wan Z, Karim A et al. Preformed Articulating Knee Spacers in 2-stage Total Knee Revision Arthroplasty: Minimum 2-year Follow-Up. J Arthroplasty 2012 Sep;27(8):1469-73.
- 37. Akhtar A, Mitchell C et al. Cement Pedestal Spacer Technique for Infected Two-stage Revision Knee Arthroplasty: Description and Comparison of Complications. Indian J Orthop. Nov-Dec 2019;53(6):695-699.
- 38. Corona PS, Vicente M et al. Use of modular megaprosthesis in managing chronic end-stage periprosthetic hip and knee infections: Is there an increase in relapse rate? Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2018 May; 28(4):627-636.
- 39. Pellegrini A, Legnani C et al. Two-stage revision shoulder prosthesis vs. permanent articulating antibiotic spacer in the treatment of periprosthetic shoulder infections. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2019 Apr; 105(2):237-240.
- 40 Buchalter DB, Mahure SA et al. Two-stage revision for infected shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surgery 2017 Jun;26(6):939-947.
- 41. Patrick M, Vincent HK, et al. Management of infected shoulder arthroplasty: a comparison of treatment strategies. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2019 Sep;28(9):1658-1665.

# TECRES ADVANCING HIGH TECHNOLOGY

