



MD-2012, mun. Chişinău, str. Vasile Alecsandri, 42, tel: +373(22)255558, +373(22)255612, e-mail: cominf@mai.gov.md

EVALUATION REPORT

PUBLICATION REF.: COMINF/3.1/1-3.2

Contents:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timetable Observers Evaluation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preparatory clarification session Tender opening session Administrative compliance Technical compliance Financial evaluation Conclusion Signatures
Annexes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tender opening report and its annexes Administrative compliance grid Technical evaluation grids completed by the individual evaluators, Annex III Technical Offer evaluation Attendance records Clarification correspondence with tenderers

1. Timetable

	DATE	TIME	VENUE
On site visit/Clarification session	02.02.2021	10:00	ITD Head Quarter. 42. Vasile Alecsandri street
Deadline for the submission of tenders	13.03.2021	15:02	
Tender opening session	13.03.2021	15:02	AIS RSAP
Administrative evaluation and compliance of tenders	16.03.2021	10:00	ITD Head Quarter. 42. Vasile Alecsandri street
Technical evaluations presentation & clarification request	14.04.2021	10:00	ITD Head Quarter. 42. Vasile Alecsandri street
Final technical and financial evaluation session	22.04.2021	15:00	ITD Head Quarter. 42. Vasile Alecsandri street

2. Observers

Name	Representing
Andrian ŞOVA	Legal representative, Director
Denis MIHAIESCU	Project manager

3. Evaluation

On site visit/Clarification session

The Project manager informed the Evaluation Committee and Tenderers of the scope of the proposed contract, identified the organisations responsible for the tender dossier, and summarised the essential features of the tender procedure to date, including the Technical drawings as part of the tender dossier, that were transmitted to interested parts on electronic support.

Tender opening session

The Tender opening report is attached to this report. The Evaluation Committee only considered those tenders, which were found to be suitable for further evaluation following the tender opening session.

3.1 Administrative compliance

The Evaluation Committee used the administrative compliance grid included in the tender dossier to assess the compliance of each of the tenders with the administrative requirements of the tender dossier.

With the agreement of the other Evaluation Committee members, the Chairperson wrote to the following tenderers whose tenders required clarification, offering them the possibility to respond within a reasonable time (all correspondence is attached in the Annex indicated):

Tender envelope No	Tenderer name	Summary of exchange of correspondence
MD-IDNO-1010600001209	Eurostil Construct	Clarification request no. 8/7-2010 from 19.03.2021 Clarification answer no,19 from 22.03.2021

The completed Administrative compliance grid is attached. On the basis of this, the Evaluation Committee decided that the following tenders were administratively non-compliant and should not be considered further:

Tender envelope No	Tenderer name	Reason
--------------------	---------------	--------

Tender envelope No	Tenderer name	Reason
MD-IDNO-1002600033173	S&T Mold	The tenderer has misrepresented or failed to supply the information required. The tender dossier and technical offer are incomplete. Missing the Declaration on honour on exclusion criteria and selection criteria, Tender form and more.

3.2 Technical evaluation

Technical compliance

Each evaluator on the Evaluation Committee used the Technical evaluation grid included in the tender dossier to assess the compliance of each of the tenders with the technical requirements of the tender dossier. The completed Technical evaluation grids are attached.

With the agreement of the other Evaluation Committee members, the Chairperson wrote to the following tenderers whose tenders required clarification, offering them the possibility to respond within a reasonable time (all correspondence is attached in the Annex indicated):

Tender envelope No	Tenderer name	Summary of exchange of correspondence
MD-IDNO-1010600001209	Eurostil Construct	Clarification request no. 8/9-2739 from 14.04.2021 Clarification answer no,28 from 16.04.2021

3.3 Financial evaluation

Determination of the amount of the financial offers

The Evaluation Committee checked the technically compliant tenders for arithmetic errors. As stated in the instructions to tenderers, arithmetic errors were corrected on the following basis:

- Where there was a discrepancy between amounts in figures and in words, the amount in words prevailed;
- Except for lump-sum contracts, where there was a discrepancy between a unit price and the total amount derived from the multiplication of the unit price and the quantity, the unit price as quoted prevailed, except where the Evaluation Committee agreed that there was an obvious error in the unit price, in which case the total amount as quoted prevailed;
- Where unconditional discounts applied to financial offers for individual lots, the discount was applied to the financial offer.

The following arithmetic corrections were made:

Tender envelope No	Tenderer name	Stated financial offer [MDL]	Arithmetically corrected financial offer [MDL]
MD-IDNO-1010600001209	Eurostil Construct	90 913 002,00 without VAT	90 912 819,87 without VAT

The arithmetically corrected financial offers were compared to identify the technically compliant tender with the lowest price.

The ranking of the tenders which were not excluded during the evaluation was as follows, in order of the arithmetically corrected financial offers:

Tender envelope No	Tenderer name	Financial offer [after arithmetical correction] [MDL]	Ranking
MD-IDNO-1010600001209	Eurostil Construct	90 912 819,87 without VAT	1

Application of discounts:

Tender envelope No	Tenderer name	Financial offer [after arithmetical correction] [MDL]	Discount applicable [MDL]
MD-IDNO-1010600001209	Eurostil Construct	90 912 819,87 without VAT	8 218 518,92 without VAT

Financial scoring

The Evaluation Committee compared the financial offers to calculate their financial scores:

Tender envelope No	Tenderer name	Financial offer [MDL]	Financial score
MD-IDNO-1010600001209	Eurostil Construct	82 694 300.95 MDL without VAT	100

4. Conclusion

Verification of documentary evidence for exclusion and selection criteria

The Evaluation Committee checked that the documentary evidence for exclusion and selection criteria for the most economically advantageous tender.

The Evaluation Committee verified the documentary evidence for exclusion and selection criteria for the most economically advantageous tender and the documents were found admissible.

Consequently, the Evaluation Committee recommends that the contract(s) is(are) awarded as follows:

Tender envelope No	Tenderer name	Financial offer [after arithmetical correction and discounts] [MDL]	[Spare parts and/or consumables] [MDL]	Contract value [MDL]
MD-IDNO-1010600001209	Eurostil Construct	82 694 300.95 MDL without VAT	1 269 740.34 MDL without VAT	82 694 300.95 MDL without VAT

The proposed contractor intends to subcontract to third parties 11,7% of the contract.

5. Signatures

	Name	Signature
Chairperson	Igor BIVOL	
Secretary		
Evaluators	Artur BORDENIUC	
	Dorin CAPCELEA	
	Alina ZAPOROJAN	
	Radu ŞEVCENCO	
	Alina CŞEŢCAIA	
	Victor MORARI	

Approved by the Contracting Authority:

Name & Signature:



Date:

22. 04. 2021