

Test report No.: S47/2022 - 1

**DETERMINATION OF HYGIENIC HANDRUB (EN 1500:2013)  
ACTIVITY OF THE PRODUCT  
CHEMISEPT MED**

Sample ID: S47/2022  
Sample name: **CHEMISEPT MED**  
Client: Chemi-Pharm AS, Tánassilma tee 11, Tánassilma küla, 76406 Saku vald, Estonia  
Manufacturer: Chemi-Pharm AS, Tánassilma tee 11, Tánassilma küla, 76406 Saku vald, Estonia  
Sampling point: Chemi-Pharm AS, Tánassilma tee 11, Tánassilma küla, 76406 Saku vald, Estonia

Page.: 1  
From pages: 4

Incoming date:  
23.2.2022

Delivery date:  
28.3.2022



Description: Testing the efficacy of chemical disinfectants and antiseptics

Sample ID:	S47/2022	Sampling date:	1.2.2022
Sample name:	<b>CHEMISEPT MED</b>	Sample delivered:	23.2.2022
Sampled:	Client	Testing date:	3.3. - 4.3.2022
Sampling point:	Chemi-Pharm AS	Delivered amount:	2 x 500 ml
Client:	Chemi-Pharm AS	Page:	3

The Number of CFU in the tested product: 0 CFU/ml

Testing the efficacy of chemical disinfectant **CHEMISEPT MED** on *Escherichia coli* K 12 NCTC 10538

Test suspensions:

Dilutions	V1	V2	lgN	Weighted mean ( $\sigma$ )		
10 <sup>-6</sup>	188	240	8,33	for N	5 ≤ $\sigma$ ≤ 15	10,19
10 <sup>-7</sup>	22	20				
$\Phi = 2,14 \times 10^8$			8,17 ≤ lgN ≤ 8,7			

Verification of methodology

Validation of suspension N <sub>vo</sub>		Validation of suspension N <sub>vb</sub>		Neutralizer toxicity control (B)	
Vc1	49	Vc1	60	Vc1	49
Vc2	60	Vc2	35	Vc2	50
$30 \leq 54,5 \leq 160$		$30 \leq 47,5 \leq 160$		$49,5 \geq$	
$30 \leq \Phi_{N_{vo}} \leq 160$		$30 < \Phi_{N_{vb}}(N_{vb}/1000) < 160$		$\Phi_B \geq 0,0005 \Phi_{N_{vb}}$	

Method validation (C)

Testing conditions	Vc1	Vc2	$\sigma$ C
80 %, 30 s, -, 20°C	47	56	$51,5 \geq 0,5 N_{vo}$

Note: Vc = value is the number of cfu per ml,  $\Phi$  = average Vc1 a Vc2 (1. + 2. duplicate Vc values), N = the number of cfu/ml of the bacterial test suspension, N<sub>vo</sub> (C), N<sub>vb</sub> (B) = the number of cfu/ml of the bacterial test suspensions for validation in the test mixture B, C at the beginning of the contact time = 0, B, C, = the number of surviving bacteria per ml in control tests (B - neutralizer toxicity validation, C - method validation)

**Acceptance criteria for test results:**

Only if the results of the test procedure fulfil the following requirements, they shall be accepted for further evaluation, otherwise the test shall be repeated:

- a) A complete set of results from at least 18 volunteers shall be available. All complete sets of results shall be used for further evaluation.
- b) The overall means of the lg prevalues for RP and PP shall be both at least 5.00.
- c) Not more than three individual lg reductions less than 3.00 shall occur in RP.
- d) The absolute difference of mean differences between lg reductions of RP and PP of group RP → PP and group PP → RP shall be less than 2.00.
- e) All quotients of weighted mean counts between 5 and 15.

Description:     *Testing the efficacy of chemical disinfectants and antiseptics*

Sample ID:	S47/2022	Sampling date:	1.2.2022
Sample name:	<b>CHEMISEPT MED</b>	Sample delivered:	23.2.2022
Sampled:	Client	Testing date:	3.3. - 4.3.2022
Sampling point:	Chemi-Pharm AS	Delivered amount:	2 x 500 ml
Client:	Chemi-Pharm AS	Page:	4

**Conclusion:**

**The acceptance criteria for the test results were met.**

From table (see Table E.5 in EN 1500) of critical values for Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-ranks test the entry for  $n = 20$  and a one-sided 0,025 level of significance, the critical value of 52 is found. Hence  $c = 52 + 1 = 53$ . The pairwise differences are sorted in descending order. The 53rd value is 0,33. Hence the Hodges-Lehmann upper one-sided 97,5% confidence limit for the difference in lg Rs between RP and PP is 0.33, which is less than the agreed inferiority margin of 0.6. Therefore the hypothesis of inferiority of PP is rejected and it can be concluded the test preparation PP is non-inferior to RP.

The tested product	<b>CHEMISEPT MED</b>
Batch number:	196010222
Standard:	EN 1500:2013
Procedure:	handrub

**Conditions:**

Application time:	30 s
Volume of the product:	3 ml
Concentration:	100%

The tested product is deemed suitable to be used as medical hygienic handrub according to the standard EN 1500:2013.

Approved by: Ing. Barbora Stoklásková, Leader of Study

Hodonín, 28.3.2022



Ing. Jana Šlitrová, Head of Laboratory

Period of analysis: 3.3.-4.3.2022

Prepared by: Mgr. Alena Holíková

Volunteer	Hand left or right	2-Propanol batch I1161134133, expiry date 2026/06/30, 60%, 2x3 ml, 2x30 s										Product Chemisept MED, sample S47/2022, 100%, 3 ml, 30 s									
		-3	-4	-5	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	0	-1	-2		
1	l	>330	117	<14	>330	89	<14	>330	101	<14	>330	39	<14	>330	101	<14	>330	39	<14	>330	
	r	>330	124	<14	>330	73	<14	>330	77	<14	>330	45	<14	>330	77	<14	>330	45	<14	>330	
2	l	>330	164	18	>330	81	<14	>330	103	<14	>330	56	<14	>330	103	<14	>330	56	<14	>330	
	r	>330	147	14	>330	65	<14	>330	89	<14	>330	48	<14	>330	89	<14	>330	48	<14	>330	
3	l	>330	148	15	>330	244	28	>330	244	28	>330	85	<14	>330	244	28	>330	85	<14	>330	
	r	>330	173	19	>330	252	20	>330	252	20	>330	74	<14	>330	252	20	>330	74	<14	>330	
4	l	>330	203	22	>330	47	<14	>330	188	<14	>330	96	<14	>330	188	<14	>330	96	<14	>330	
	r	>330	162	17	>330	32	<14	>330	169	<14	>330	112	<14	>330	169	<14	>330	112	<14	>330	
5	l	>330	171	17	>330	87	<14	>330	82	<14	>330	85	<14	>330	82	<14	>330	85	<14	>330	
	r	>330	156	16	>330	82	<14	>330	73	<14	>330	79	<14	>330	73	<14	>330	79	<14	>330	
6	l	>330	138	<14	>330	52	<14	>330	44	<14	>330	57	<14	>330	44	<14	>330	57	<14	>330	
	r	>330	124	<14	>330	46	<14	>330	66	<14	>330	49	<14	>330	66	<14	>330	49	<14	>330	
7	l	>330	200	20	>330	43	<14	>330	246	<14	>330	113	<14	>330	246	<14	>330	113	<14	>330	
	r	>330	218	18	>330	36	<14	>330	225	<14	>330	99	<14	>330	225	<14	>330	99	<14	>330	
8	l	>330	111	<14	>330	36	<14	>330	34	<14	>330	50	<14	>330	34	<14	>330	50	<14	>330	
	r	>330	149	<14	>330	42	<14	>330	45	<14	>330	46	<14	>330	45	<14	>330	46	<14	>330	
9	l	>330	142	14	>330	137	<14	>330	287	<14	>330	14	<14	>330	287	<14	>330	14	<14	>330	
	r	>330	117	<14	>330	122	<14	>330	280	<14	>330	15	<14	>330	280	<14	>330	15	<14	>330	
10	l	>330	131	14	>330	93	<14	>330	244	<14	>330	75	<14	>330	244	<14	>330	75	<14	>330	
	r	>330	150	15	>330	88	<14	>330	233	<14	>330	66	<14	>330	233	<14	>330	66	<14	>330	
11	l	116	<14	<14	80	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	
	r	112	<14	<14	71	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	<14	
12	l	>330	134	<14	248	29	<14	>330	101	<14	>330	46	<14	>330	101	<14	>330	46	<14	>330	
	r	>330	115	<14	240	22	<14	>330	75	<14	>330	35	<14	>330	75	<14	>330	35	<14	>330	
13	l	>330	264	21	284	27	<14	>330	303	<14	>330	61	<14	>330	303	<14	>330	61	<14	>330	
	r	>330	277	33	291	34	<14	>330	278	<14	>330	48	<14	>330	278	<14	>330	48	<14	>330	
14	l	>330	126	<14	162	15	<14	>330	>330	<14	>330	40	<14	>330	>330	<14	>330	40	<14	>330	
	r	>330	90	<14	155	14	<14	>330	>330	<14	>330	42	<14	>330	>330	<14	>330	42	<14	>330	
15	l	>330	159	15	>330	80	<14	>330	72	<14	>330	67	<14	>330	72	<14	>330	67	<14	>330	
	r	>330	143	14	>330	75	<14	>330	60	<14	>330	59	<14	>330	60	<14	>330	59	<14	>330	
16	l	>330	112	<14	>330	47	<14	>330	59	<14	>330	55	<14	>330	59	<14	>330	55	<14	>330	
	r	>330	91	<14	>330	58	<14	>330	70	<14	>330	40	<14	>330	70	<14	>330	40	<14	>330	
17	l	>330	252	22	>330	33	<14	>330	229	<14	>330	90	<14	>330	229	<14	>330	90	<14	>330	
	r	>330	263	33	>330	45	<14	>330	220	<14	>330	83	<14	>330	220	<14	>330	83	<14	>330	
18	l	>330	>330	57	>330	36	<14	>330	75	<14	>330	36	<14	>330	75	<14	>330	36	<14	>330	
	r	>330	>330	75	>330	60	<14	>330	100	<14	>330	48	<14	>330	100	<14	>330	48	<14	>330	
19	l	>330	112	<14	>330	116	<14	>330	192	<14	>330	43	<14	>330	192	<14	>330	43	<14	>330	
	r	>330	146	<14	>330	105	<14	>330	171	<14	>330	54	<14	>330	171	<14	>330	54	<14	>330	
20	l	>330	251	25	>330	82	<14	>330	219	<14	>330	62	<14	>330	219	<14	>330	62	<14	>330	
	r	>330	193	22	>330	66	<14	>330	244	<14	>330	46	<14	>330	244	<14	>330	46	<14	>330	

Volunteer	Chronological Sequence	Reference hand disinfection procedure RP						Reference handwash procedure with product PP						Difference RP - PP
		N prevalues	N postvalues	lg prevalues	lg postvalues	lg R	N prevalues	N postvalues	lg prevalues	lg postvalues	lg R			
1	RP	1,21E+06	8,10E+02	6,08	2,91	3,17	8,90E+05	4,20E+02	5,95	2,62	3,33	-0,15		
2	RP	1,56E+06	7,30E+02	6,19	2,86	3,33	9,60E+05	5,20E+02	5,98	2,72	3,26	0,07		
3	RP	1,61E+06	2,47E+03	6,21	3,39	2,82	3,70E+06	8,00E+03	6,57	3,90	2,67	0,15		
4	RP	1,84E+06	4,00E+02	6,26	2,60	3,66	1,82E+06	1,04E+02	6,26	2,02	4,24	-0,58		
5	RP	1,64E+06	8,50E+02	6,21	2,93	3,28	7,80E+05	8,20E+02	5,89	2,91	2,98	0,30		
6	RP	1,31E+06	4,90E+02	6,12	2,69	3,43	5,50E+05	5,30E+02	5,74	2,72	3,02	0,41		
7	RP	2,07E+06	4,00E+02	6,32	2,60	3,72	2,36E+06	1,06E+03	6,37	3,03	3,34	0,38		
8	RP	1,30E+06	3,90E+02	6,11	2,59	3,52	4,00E+05	4,80E+02	5,60	2,68	2,92	0,60		
9	RP	1,30E+06	1,30E+02	6,11	2,11	4,00	2,84E+06	1,40E+02	6,45	2,15	4,30	-0,30		
10	RP	1,41E+06	9,10E+02	6,15	2,96	3,19	2,36E+06	7,10E+02	6,37	2,85	3,52	-0,33		
11	PP	1,14E+05	7,60E+01	5,06	1,88	3,18	6,50E+04	4,40E+01	4,81	1,64	3,17	0,01		
12	PP	1,25E+06	2,45E+02	6,10	2,39	3,71	8,80E+05	4,10E+02	5,94	2,61	3,33	0,38		
13	PP	2,70E+06	2,89E+02	6,43	2,46	3,97	2,89E+06	5,50E+02	6,46	2,74	3,72	0,25		
14	PP	1,08E+06	1,57E+02	6,03	2,20	3,83	9,20E+06	4,10E+02	6,96	2,61	4,35	-0,52		
15	PP	1,50E+06	7,80E+02	6,18	2,89	3,29	6,60E+05	6,30E+02	5,82	2,80	3,02	0,27		
16	PP	1,02E+06	5,30E+02	6,01	2,72	3,29	6,50E+05	4,80E+02	5,81	2,68	3,13	0,16		
17	PP	2,59E+06	3,90E+02	6,41	2,59	3,82	2,25E+06	8,70E+02	6,35	2,94	3,41	0,41		
18	PP	6,60E+06	4,80E+02	6,82	2,68	4,14	8,80E+05	4,20E+02	5,94	2,62	3,32	0,82		
19	PP	1,29E+06	1,11E+03	6,11	3,05	3,07	1,82E+06	4,90E+03	6,26	3,69	2,57	0,50		
20	PP	2,23E+06	7,40E+02	6,35	2,87	3,48	2,30E+06	5,40E+02	6,36	2,73	3,63	-0,15		
Ø	Overall	1,78E+06	6,19E+02	6,16	2,67	3,49	1,91E+06	1,10E+03	6,10	2,73	3,36			
s		1,27E+06	5,20E+02	0,32	0,35	0,35	1,99E+06	1,91E+03	0,45	0,49	0,50			
n				20	20	20			20	20	20			
Ø	RP → PP			6,18	2,77	3,41			6,12	2,76	3,36	0,05		
s				0,08	0,33	0,33			0,33	0,51	0,54			
n				10	10	10			10	10	10			
Ø	PP → RP			6,15	2,57	3,58			6,07	2,71	3,37	0,21		
s				0,46	0,35	0,37			0,57	0,49	0,47			
n				10	10	10			10	10	10			

Sorting of individual differences and computation for Hodges-Lehmann 97,5% upper confidence limits

	Sorted differences	Mean pairwise differences (di+dii)/2																		
1	0,82	0,82																		
2	0,60	0,71	0,60																	
3	0,50	0,66	0,55	0,50																
4	0,41	0,62	0,51	0,46	0,41															
5	0,41	0,62	0,51	0,46	0,41	0,41														
6	0,38	0,60	0,49	0,44	0,40	0,40	0,38													
7	0,38	0,60	0,49	0,44	0,40	0,40	0,38	0,38												
8	0,30	0,56	0,45	0,40	0,36	0,36	0,34	0,34	0,30											
9	0,27	0,55	0,44	0,39	0,34	0,34	0,33	0,29	0,29	0,27										
10	0,25	0,54	0,43	0,38	0,33	0,33	0,32	0,32	0,28	0,26	0,25									
11	0,16	0,49	0,38	0,33	0,29	0,29	0,27	0,27	0,23	0,22	0,21									
12	0,15	0,49	0,38	0,33	0,28	0,28	0,27	0,27	0,23	0,21	0,21									
13	0,07	0,45	0,34	0,29	0,24	0,24	0,23	0,23	0,19											
14	0,01	0,42	0,31	0,26	0,21	0,21	0,20	0,20												
15	-0,15	0,34	0,23	0,18	0,13	0,13	0,12													
16	-0,16	0,33	0,22	0,17	0,13	0,13														
17	-0,30	0,26	0,15	0,10	0,06															
18	-0,33	0,25	0,14	0,09																
19	-0,52	0,15	0,04																	
20	-0,58	0,12																		

log R = decimal log reduction; RP→PP sequence: first RP, second PP; PP→RP sequence: first PP, second RP;  $\bar{\mu}$  = mean; s = standard deviation; n = number of values (volunteer)

Difference of mean Rs (RP→PP):  $3,41 - 3,36 = 0,05$ ; Difference of mean Rs (PP→RP):  $3,58 - 3,37 = 0,21$ ; Absolute difference of differences:  $|0,05 - 0,21| = 0,16$

The median is between the 10th and 11th value:  $[0,25+0,16]/2 = 0,205$ . The mean pairwise differences that do not exceed the median (here: 0,205) are computed.

From table (see E.5 in EN 1500:2013) of critical values for Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-ranks test the entry for  $n = 20$  and a one-sided 0,025 level of significance, the critical

value of 52 is found. Hence  $c = 52 + 1 = 53$ . **The 53rd value is 0,33**. Hence the Hodges-Lehmann upper one sided 97,5% confidence limit for the difference in lg Rs between

RP and PP is 0,33, which is less than the agreed inferiority margin of 0,6. Therefore the hypothesis of inferiority of PP is rejected and it can be concluded that the test preparation

PP is non-inferior to RP.