#### SpiderFX™

Embolic Protection Device

					Delivery end	Recovery end	Guide catheter/ sheath
Product number (1/bax)	Filter size (mm)	Target vessel size (mm)	Wire length OTW/RX (cm)	Wire diameter (in/mm)	Crossing profile (F)	Diameter (F)	Minimum ID (in)
SPD2-030-190	3.0	2.0 - 3.0	190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066
SPD2-030-320	3.0	2.0 - 3.0	320/190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066
SPD2-040-190	4.0	3.1-4.0	190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066
SPD2-040-320	4.0	3.1-4.0	320/190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066
SPD2-050-190	5.0	4.1-5.0	190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066
SPD2-050-320	5.0	4.1-5.0	320/190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066
SPD2-060-190	6.0	4.5 - 6.0	190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066
SPD2-060-320	6.0	4.5 - 6.0	320/190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066
SPD2-070-190	7.0	5.5 - 7.0	190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066
SPD2-070-320	7.0	5.5 - 7.0	320/190	0.014/0.36	3.2	4.2	0.066

Kasirajan Ket al. The Use of Mechanical Thrombectomy Devices in the Management of Acute Peripheral Arterial Occusive Disease. J Visc Intervitation. 2001;12:405-411.

<sup>2</sup>Wholey MH et. al. Comparison of Thrombolytic Therapy of Lower Extremity Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Arterial Occlusions. Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn. 1998;44:159-169. Siablis Det. at Outflow Protection Filters During Percutaneous Recanalization of Lower Extremities' Arterial Occlusions: A Plot Study. Eur. / Radiol. 2005, 55:243-249.

 $^4 Suri Ret. \ al. \ Distal Embolic Protection During Femoropopilities Atherectomy. \ Catheter Cardiovascular Intervention. \ 2006; 67:417-422.$ \*Karnabatidis D et. al. Distal Embolism During Percutaneous Revascularization of Infra-Aorticarterial Occlusive Disease: An Underestimated Phenomenon. JEndovasc Ther. 2005;13:269–280.

### Medtronic

www.medtronic.com

# CAPTURE WHAT **MATTERS**

SpiderFX™ Embolic Protection Device

> Medtronic Further, Together

# CAPTURING DEBRIS MATTERS

While the risk of complications associated with embolic devices exists during all types of interventional procedures, patients with critical limb ischemia or single vessel run-off are at a greater risk for an embolic event, as are patients with complex lesion morphology such as severe calcium.


Embolic protection devices are used to capture and remove debris that become dislodged during interventional procedures. Debris may embolize downstream and block smaller vessels, resulting in procedural complications or poor patient outcomes.

Distal embolization is a potential complication of percutaneous atherectomy and other endovascular procedures that can lead to poor outcomes for the patient and escalated costs for hospitals. Embolic protection (EP) devices have been shown in several studies to have a low failure rate and thus reduce the incidence of these events. 1-6

Figure 1a-1c.

Comparison of outcomes between matched groups—inpatient

- DISTAL EMBOLIZATION GROUP
- SPIDERFX" EMBOLIC PROTECTION DEVICE GROUP






Figure 2.

Comparison of outcomes between matched groups –hospital outpatient

- DISTALEMBOLIZATION GROUP
- SPIDERFX" EMBOLIC PROTECTION DEVICE GROUP





- Lower costs
- Shorter inpatient hospital stays
- Lower ICU utilization rate
- Shorter OR times

Cumulatively, these findings demonstrate that EP devices, such as the SpiderFX device, may significantly reduce consumption of hospital resources.




#### Vessel of choice

 The SpiderFX embolic protection device can be used in carotid, coronary, and perjoheral intervention.

#### **Delivery of choice**

 The device can be delivered over any 0.014" or 0.018" guidewire or through any 0.035" catheter, allowing you to choose your mathod of delivery for successful placement.



\*Lower extremity procedures

## **DESIGN MATTERS**

#### Basket design

The unique braided nitinol filter conforms to the vessel wall and maintains full-wall apposition during the intervention. Flow is directed into the filter's conical design, effectively capturing debris while maintaining blood flow.

#### Visible markers

A gold tungsten loop around the mouth of the filter, along with radiopaque markers, allows for precise positioning and verification of apposition before proceeding with the intervention.

#### Wire movement

The capture wire (available in 190 cm and 320 cm lengths) rotates and moves longitudinally, independent of the filter, for enhanced stability during the procedure.

The SpiderFX device is available in a variety of sizes (3 – 7 mm) for optimal fit and apposition in a range of vessels

